FILED BERT H. ALSDORF 99 APR -7 PM 2:31 SUPERIOR COURT CLERK SEATTLE, WA SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON FOR KING COUNTY 10 CHRIS REHFIELD, a single person, 11 99-2-08691-18 12 Plaintiff, NO. 13 V. 14 PLAINTIFF'S CORPORATION OF THE CATHOLIC COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES 15 BISHOP OF YAKIMA, a sole corporation, JOHN THOLEN, a single 16 person, 17 Defendants. 18 19 Chris Rehfield, through his counsel, T. Jeffrey Keane and Rodihan & Keane, for 20 complaint against defendants allege as follows: 21 22 **PARTIES** 1.0 23 24 1.1 Plaintiff. Chris Rehfield is a single person who at all material times 25 resided in Yakima County, Washington. 26 27 28 RODIHAN & KEANE COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES - 1 2410 COLUMBIA CENTER

701 FIFTH AVENUE

SEATTLE, WASHINGTON 98104

(206) 223-7700 · FACSIMILE (206) 223-0411

27

28

- 1.2 <u>Defendant.</u> The Corporation of the Catholic Bishop of Yakima (hereafter "Yakima Diocese"), is a corporation organized under the laws of the State of Washington, whose principal place of business is Yakima, Washington. The Yakima Diocese does business in King County, Washington.
- 1.3 <u>Defendant</u>. John Tholen has, at all material times, resided in Yakima County, Washington.

2.0 VENUE AND JURISDICTION

This court has jurisdiction over the parties because at all relevant times the defendants resided in or did business in Washington State, including King County, Washington. Venue is properly laid in this court.

3.0 FACTS

- 3.1 Chris Rehfield was a parishioner in Holy Redeemer parish, in Yakima, throughout the 1960's. During that time he met defendant Tholen.
- 3.2 Defendant Tholen was incardinated by and worked under the authority of the Yakima Diocese. He was, at various times, a parish assistant, a hospital chaplain, and an assistant pastor at Holy Redeemer. During the time he was an assistant at Holy Redeemer, he met the members of the Rehfield family, including Chris Rehfield.
- 3.3 Upon information and belief, plaintiff alleges that prior to the time defendant Tholen was assigned to Holy Redeemer parish, and prior to the time he met plaintiff, and notwithstanding his vow of chastity, during the time after his ordination defendant Tholen had engaged in sexual activity. The fact that defendant Tholen had